### DESK REVIEW FOR 2006-2007 AUDITS OF SCHOOL DISTRICTS

SCHOOL COI	 DE:	SCHOOL DISTRICT:						
AUDIT FIRM:		SOLIOGE DISTRICT.			DATE REVIEW STARTED:			
	PT: A AR S SI	QCR BOA	DATE:	N/A	DATE REVIEW COMPLETED:			
DATE A133 F			ANAGEMENT I		Y N AUDITOR:			
Condition To: F,RC, NC,II		Date of Follow-up	of Response Question				Condition Resolved Y N P	Second Request Date
NOTES:				SUB	МΙΊ	LLED EFEC.	TRONICAL	_LY
RPT MGMT CO AUTHORIZER						ER		
I. <u>Schedu</u>	le of Findings an	d Question	ed Costs:					
1. Number of Findings Current Year? Per Audit Per DCF Number of Findings Prior Year? Per Audit Per DCF Questioned Costs Per Audit? \$ Status of Prior Year Findings Included? Y N/A Check last year's desk review Y N/A								
<ul> <li>2. Internal Control Weaknesses</li> <li>A. Significant Deficiencies</li> <li>B. Material Weaknesses</li> <li>D. Nonreportable Conditions</li> </ul>								
3. Is the school district's response required?  If yes, is response included in the report?  Acceptable? Yes No Follow Up Action (Use schedule above)								
4. Per the auditor, does this school qualify as a low-risk auditee? Yes No								
II. <u>Financial Statements</u> :								
1. Note state note	<ol> <li>Notes/reports disclose questioned/unsupported costs material or significant to the financi statements/federal programs. Yes No If yes, are they reflected appropriately notes and reports? Yes No If no, reference and identify.</li> </ol>							
2.Financial statement presentation and note disclosures:  A. MD&A?  B. Government wide F/S?  C. Complete and comply with GAAP?  D. Balance Sheet contains required funds?  E. Balance Sheet contains accrued liabilities?  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No								

	3.		fer from Sch wable?	nool Service Fur	nd to General Fu	nd? If so	, reference	and ident	ify – is it
		Tran		ebt Service Fun	d to General Fu	nd? If so	, reference	and ident	ify – is it
	4.	exce and i	ss of expend	itures over appr t appropriately i F E T	inance - related l opriations in indi reflected in the r Rev Exp Trans GF Fund Bal	vidual fund	ds, etc.)Yes	No I	deficit(s), Reference
	5.	Com	parison of G	eneral Fund bala	ance between au	dit and FII	D-Per FID	\$	
		If fu	nd deficit, wl	hen was DEP ap	proved?		-Per Audit	\$	
								_	
Ш.	Re	view	of Reports a	nd Schedules:					
	1.	Audi	tor's opinion	on the financial	statements:				
			1			Disalaina			
		unqu	alified	Qualified	Aaverse	Disciaim	ier of Opinio	on	
		Audi	tor's opinion	on major progr	am compliance:				
					Ш				
		Unqu	ualified	Qualified	Adverse	Disclaim	ner of Opinio	on	
	2.	or or	n the financia	natory informational statements. If yes, reference	on has material or ce and explain.	significan	t effect on t	he Federal	programs
	3.	REPO	DRTS: Mark	"X" for the acce	ptable, make no	tes for foll	ow-up.		
		Acceptable							
		( ) Auditor's Report on the Financial Statements							
		( )		•		.5			
		<ul> <li>( ) Report on Supplementary Schedule         of Expenditures of Federal Awards         (May be Combined with the Above Report)</li> </ul>							
		( )	Financial Re Statements	-					
		( )	to each ma	jor program and	Requirements A I internal control vith OMB Circula	over			
		( )	•	rts - fraud, abus or suspected (N	e or illegal act is /A)				
		All aı	oplicable rep	orts are include	d and acceptable	<u>)</u>	Yes	No	

1.	SC	CHEC	DULES: Mark "X" for the acceptable, make notes for	follow-up	
	<u>Ac</u>	cept	table		
	(	) A.	Schedule of Findings & Questioned Costs		
	(	)	(1) Summary of auditor's results		
	(	)	(2) Indicates major programs Yes No Agrees to DCF?	25% low-risk	50% high-risk
	(	)	(3) Presentation of findings relating to the Financial Statements		
	(	)	(4) Presentation of findings relating to the Federal Awards		
	(	)	(5) Corrective action plan and comments on auditor's findings and recommendations		
	(	)B.	Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (N/A)		
	(	)	(1) Status of prior year findings		
	(	)	(2) Recommendations and comments of the auditor on prior year findings		
	(	)C.	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards		
	(	)	(1) CFDA and project numbers		
	(	)	(2) Subtotals for each CFDA # & grand totals for		

# Federal Financial Assistance Received and Expended

(	)	(3) Current year cash "payment in kind" received from Federal sources AND expenditures for each program are reported by department and passed through grantor					
(	)	(4) The value of commodities received and expended.  Commodities are appropriately presented in the Financial Statements & FID. If no, explain. Yes No					
		i	PAL	SEFA	Difference		
	Entitl	ement Receipts Bonus Receipts					
En	titlem	ent Expenditures					
	Bonu	us Expenditures	FID	CEEA			
			FID	SEFA			
(	( ) (5) Verified with R7120 all Federal funds received from MDE Deferred Revenue?						
(	) (6) Columns and format						
(	)	(7) Footnotes and disclosure					
(	( ) (8) Schedule crossfoots						
( )D. Schedule of reconciliation (N/A)							
F/S \$ A-133 (\$)							
		(+					
		Agrees to DO	CF?				
(	( )E. Schedule of Federal funds provided to subrecipients (N/A)						
All applicable schedules are included and acceptable Yes No							
IV. <u>Conc</u>	lusio	<u>n</u> : In my opinion	, the report is:				
( ) Acceptable							
( )	( ) Acceptable with Reservation						
	( ) Substandard ( ) Significantly inadequate						
			ew should be scheduled.	(Reasons for QCR red	commendation).		
Signa	ature		Dat	e Review Completed _			

# **COMMUNICATION SECTION**

#### DEFINITIONS FOR CONCLUSIONS ON THE DESK REVIEW FORM

#### <u>Acceptable</u>

- The report is usable without change or with minor changes. The report generally meets the objectives of the audit and needs of the users. The auditor has substantially complied with State and Federal requirements and professional standards. Examples include (1) the report does not identify intended users, (2) the schedule of federal financial assistance includes erroneous project numbers, (3) a report letter includes items that are not applicable and (4) footnotes are missing.
- Acceptable with Reservation The report is acceptable or usable by MDE with additional explanations or assurances from the auditor. The audit is not in complete compliance with State or Federal requirements or professional standards, however, the auditor has committed to making the corrections in the subsequent audit. Errors do not have a significant impact on the audit for most users, therefore, revised reports are not required. Examples include (1) the Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance does not have additional columns as required by the Manual, (2) the report is lacking a schedule of Federal Financial Assistance provided to subrecipients and (3) the subsequent audit is being done and the auditors have corrected the problem.
- Substandard The report/audit requires major changes. It requires correction and reissuance of one or more report letters or schedules. The deficiencies diminish the reliability and usability of the report. Examples of these types of deficiencies include (1) failure to identify the categories of internal control, (2) insufficient information in audit findings, (3) programs not tested, (4) significant violation of the 50% rule and (5) report is missing.
- Significantly Inadequate The report contains deficiencies that make the audit report unusable for fulfilling one or more objectives of the audit. The deficiencies are material and pervasive in nature. The deficiencies are identified with several report components. Examples of this type of deficiency include (1) the lack of a required report component and failure to provide it to the Department upon request, and (2) the auditor or audit organization does not meet the qualification standard.